

Amendment to Heritage Floor Space Scheme (0 dwellings and 0 jobs)					
Proposal Title :	Amendment to Heritage Floor	Space Scheme (0 dwellings a	nd 0 jobs)		
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks to amend the heritage floor space clause in Sydney LEP 2012 so that alterations and additions resulting in an increase in gross floor area of less than 100 square metres do not require an allocation of heritage floor space.				
PP Number :	PP_2017_SYDNE_004_00	Dop File No :	17/05250		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	30-Mar-2017	LGA covered :	Sydney		
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Council of the City of Sydney		
State Electorate :	SYDNEY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy				
Location Details					
Street : Var	ious				
Suburb : Var	ious City :	Various	Postcode : Various		
Land Parcel :					
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Mary Su				
Contact Number :	93732807				
Contact Email :	mary.su@planning.nsw.gov.au				
RPA Contact Detai	RPA Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Tim Aldham				
Contact Number :	92467846				
Contact Email :	taldham@cityofsydney.nsw.gov	/.au			
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :					
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy			

Amendment to Heritage Floor Space Scheme (0 dwellings and 0 jobs)

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No, of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Department of Planning an communication and meetings v has not met any lobbyists in rel any meetings between other De	vith lobbyists has been com ation to this proposal, nor h	plied with. Sydney Region East as the Director been advised of
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
-	A transferable heritage floor sp Sydney has been in existence in conceived to enable the unreali be sold and used elsewhere in (n various forms since the ea sed development potential o	rly 1970s. It was originally of heritage-listed buildings to
	The supply side of the heritage floor space scheme arises from provisions in the LEP which enable the land owner of a heritage listed building in Central Sydney to be awarded heritage floor space provided they undertake conservation works in accordance with an approved conservation management plan.		
	The demand side of the heritage which generally require heritage exceeds a floor space ratio of 8 Central Sydney a developer ger allocation to their site.	e floor space to be allocated :1. To maximise the develop	ment potential of a site in
Notes :	Council is seeking delegation to section 59 of the Environmental Delegation is considered appro	Planning and Assessment	Act 1979 (the EP&A Act).
equacy Assessment	á.		
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		

Comment : The objective of this planning proposal is to introduce a floor space based threshold for requiring allocations of heritage floor space in existing buildings.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

To achieve the proposed objectives, the planning proposal provides for changes to clause 6.11(3) of the LEP. This planning proposal seeks to amend this clause so that alterations and additions resulting in an increase in gross floor area of less than 100 square metres do

Amendment to Heritage Floor Space Scheme (0 dwellings and 0 jobs)

not require an allocation of heritage floor space.

The planning proposal includes the following draft clause to be inserted after clause 6.11(3):

(4) if the gross floor area of the building following the alterations and additions will not be more than 100 square metres greater than the gross floor area of the existing building, no heritage floor space is required to be allocated. For the purposes of this clause, gross floor area of the existing building is to be calculated in accordance with the LEP.

Final drafting of the clause will be subject to Parliamentary Counsel Opinion.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S 117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones**
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- **3.1 Residential Zones**
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required?

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 :

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 55-Remediation of Land SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? If No, explain : The proposal is considered to be consistent will all S117 Directions and SEPPs.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment :

This planning proposal does not seek to amend any maps.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Public consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the Gateway determination. Council suggests an exhibition period of 28 days.

Amendment to Heritage Floor Space Scheme (0 dwellings and 0 jobs)

TIMELINE

Council included an indicative timeline with a completion date for September 2017. The Department considers a 9 month timeframe for completion to be adequate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements?

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2012

Comments in relation **The Sydney LEP 2012 was gazetted in December 2012.** to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is not the result of any strategic study or report. The planning proposal is a response to ongoing monitoring of the operation of the heritage floor space scheme. A planning proposal is the best means to achieve the intended outcome and objectives.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the goals and objectives of A Plan for Growing Sydney and the Greater Sydney Commission's draft Central District Plan.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENT This planning proposal will not adversely affect any critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.
	HERITAGE Under clause 6.11(3) of Sydney LEP 2012, all alterations and additions involving the creation of new gross floor area require an allocation of heritage floor space (provided clause 6.11(1) already required the allocation) irrespective of the amount of new gross floor area being created. This resulted in the discretion of the consent authority to waive minor alterations and additions from the requirement to allocate heritage floor space being removed.
	The proposed amendment will allow the consent authority to exempt minor alterations and additions to existing buildings from the requirement to purchase and allocate heritage floor space. The Department suggests consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage as a condition of Gateway.
	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC The planning proposal seeks to change the heritage floor space controls which may have an impact on the operation of the heritage floor space market. The proposal includes an assessment of the impact on future demand of excluding minor alterations and additions from the requirement to purchase and allocate heritage floor space. Based on historical development in central Sydney, the forecast reduction in overall demand will be minor
	and have a negligible impact on the market. The changes are likely to remove a barrier to genuinely minor alterations and additions in central Sydney.

ssessment Proces	S				
	-				
Proposal type 👸	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make _EP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environn	nent and Herit	tage		
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes			
f no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
f Yes, reasons :					
dentify any additional s	tudies, if required. 🗐				
		l.			
dentify any internal con Io internal consultatio	sultations, if required		to this plan? No		
dentify any internal con No internal consultatio s the provision and fund	sultations, if required n required ding of state infrastrue	cture relevant	to this plan? No ill not give rise to additional	infrastructure d	emand.
dentify any internal con Io internal consultatio s the provision and fund f Yes, reasons :	sultations, if required n required ding of state infrastrue	cture relevant		infrastructure d	emand.
dentify any internal con No internal consultatio s the provision and fund f Yes, reasons : uments	sultations, if required n required ding of state infrastrue	cture relevant			emand.
dentify any internal con lo internal consultations is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name Gateway Request Lette	sultations, if required on required ding of state infrastruc The proposed an Her_HFS_March 2017.	cture relevant nendments wi	ill not give rise to additional	me	
dentify any internal con lo internal consultations is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name Gateway Request Letter Planning Proposal_HF	sultations, if required on required ding of state infrastruc The proposed an The proposed an er_HFS_March 2017. S_March 2017.pdf	cture relevant nendments wi	ill not give rise to additional DocumentType Na Proposal Covering	me	Is Public Yes
dentify any internal con lo internal consultation is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name Document File Name	sultations, if required on required ding of state infrastrue The proposed an er_HFS_March 2017. S_March 2017.pdf mendation	cture relevant nendments wi	ill not give rise to additional DocumentType Na Proposal Covering	me 3 Letter	ls Public Yes
dentify any internal con to internal consultation is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name Sateway Request Letter Preparation of the planne Preparation of the planne	sultations, if required on required ding of state infrastrue The proposed an er_HFS_March 2017. S_March 2017.pdf mendation	cture relevant nendments wi pdf ed at this stage d Industrial Zo servation ones ations and Use and T Soils	Ill not give rise to additional DocumentType Na Proposal Covering Proposal e : Recommended with Conc	me 3 Letter	ls Public Yes
f Other, provide reason dentify any internal con No internal consultation s the provision and funct f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name Document File Name Sateway Request Lette Planning Proposal_HF. Ining Team Recom Preparation of the plann S.117 directions:	er_HFS_March 2017. S_March 2017.pdf mendation ing proposal supporter 3.1 Residential Zo 3.4 Integrating La 4.1 Acid Sulfate S 4.3 Flood Prone I 6.1 Approval and 6.2 Reserving La 6.3 Site Specific I	cture relevant nendments wi pdf ed at this stage d Industrial Zo servation ones ations and Use and T Soils Land I Referral Requ nd for Public Provisions	ill not give rise to additional DocumentType Na Proposal Covering Proposal e : Recommended with Concours fransport uirements	me 3 Letter	ls Public Yes

Amendment to Heritag	e Floor Space Scheme (0 dwellings and 0 jobs)
	1. The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of not less than 28 days.
	2. Council is to consult with:• Office of Environment and Heritage
	3. A public hearing is not required.
	4. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months from the date of the gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported because it seeks to improve the operation of the heritage floor space scheme in Central Sydney.
Signature:	<u>í</u>
Printed Name:	W.W. Mramson Date: 24/4/2017.